

| Date       | Time  | Comment  |
|------------|-------|--|
| 20/06/2008 | 12:07 | AT 1045 HRS TODAY CALLS AT STATION REQUESTING A COPY OF SIGNED ORDER FOR HIS ARREST FROM YESTERDAY AT ABOVE ADDRESS.MR COLTON SAYS THE SEIZURE WAS ILLEGAL REGARDING A £10,000 VIDEO |
| 20/06/2008 | 12:07 | CAMERA, & THAT HE IS MENTALLY ILL. MR COLTON SPOKE WITH SGT 3433 TERRY AT LONG STRATTON BY PHONE REGARDING HIS REQUEST/COMPLAINT.  |
| 20/06/2008 | 12:09 | ADAMENT THAT HIS VISIT BE DULY RECORDED AS WILL BE TAKING THIS MATTER FURTHER.   |
| 20/06/2008 | 12:09 |  |
| 20/06/2008 | 12:09 |  |
| 20/06/2008 | 12:11 |  |

20/06/2008 12:12 ===

20/06/2008 12:13 SL41 VIEWING CAD

20/06/2008 12:13 =

20/06/2008 12:19 FROM SL41 I SPOKE WITH THE INFORMANT ON THE TELEPHONE. HE WANTED A COPY OF THE SIGNED INSPECTORS AUTHORISATION FOR THE SEARCH. I EXPLAINED THAT I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE INCIDENT

20/06/2008 12:19 YESTERDAY BUT THAT IF HE HAD BEEN ARRESTED AT THE ADDRESS WHICH WAS SEARCHED THEN THE SEARCH WOULD BE CONDUCTED UNDER S 32 PACE THEREFORE NO SIGNED INSPECTORS REQUEST. AS FOR

20/06/2008 12:19 AUTHORISATION TO ARREST I EXPLAINED AGAIN ONE WAS NOT NEEDED AS IT WAS NOT BASED ON AN ARREST WARRANT.HE WAS UNHAPPY AT THE ITEMS THAT HAD BEEN SEIZED AND I EXPLAINED THAT ANY

20/06/2008 12:19 ITEMS BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN USED IN THE COMMISSION OF THE OFFENCE COULD BE SEIZED AND THOSE ITEMS SEIZED ARE NOW IN THE POSSESSION OF DORSET POLICE. HE ASKED WHO HE NEEDED TO SUE

20/06/2008 12:19 AND I EXPLAINED THAT IT WOULD BE THE CHIEF CONSTABLE AS HE IS VICARIOUSLY LIABLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF HIS OFFICERS.HE DID NOT WISH TO MAKE A COMPLAINT THEREFORE NO PSD FORMS WERE

20/06/2008 12:19 COMPLETED.

20/06/2008 12:21

20/06/2008 12:21

20/06/2008 12:24

20/06/2008 12:25

20/06/2008 12:25

20/06/2008 12:25

20/06/2008 12:25

20/06/2008 12:25 NC-20062008-286 HAS BEEN DISPOSED